1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

15

16

14

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

BEFURE THE ARIZUNA CURI UNATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 1 6 2003

DOCKETED BY

2003 JAN 16 A 10: 55

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Complainant.

QWEST CORPORATION,

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-02-0871

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

COMMISSIONERS

MARC SPITZER, Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

JEFF HATCH-MILLER

MIKE GLEASON

In Decision No. 65450 (December 12, 2002), the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued a Complaint and Order to Show Cause in this proceeding against Qwest Corporation ("Owest"). That Decision ordered Qwest to appear and show cause as to "(1) why its failure to implement the rates required by Decision No. 64922 is not unreasonable, (2) why its implementation of rates in the other states with pending 271 applications at the FCC ahead of Arizona is not unreasonable, and (3) why its failure to notify the Commission of the delay and seek relief from the Order is not unreasonable." Decision No. 65450 also directed Qwest to appear and show cause "(1) why it should not be held in contempt of a Commission Order and assessed fines for failure to implement the rates approved in Decision No. 64922 within a reasonable amount of time; and (2) why it should not be held in contempt of a Commission Order and assessed fines for deliberately delaying implementation of the wholesale rate changes in Arizona until it had implemented the wholesale rate changes in at least 9 other states in which it has 271 applications pending at the FCC; and (3) why it should not be held in contempt of the Commission for attempting to discourage parties from notifying the Commission of its failure to comply with Decision No. 64922." The Commission's Show Cause Order directed Qwest to file an Answer to the Complaint within ten days of the effective date of Decision No. 65450.

On December 23, 2002, Qwest filed its Answer to the Complaint and Order to Show Cause 1 In its Answer, Qwest concedes that its communications with respect to the 2 implementation of the wholesale rate changes ordered in Decision No. 64992, which it calls the 3 "Phase IIA Decision¹," have been wholly inadequate. However, Qwest contends that its failure to 4 contact Staff and other affected parties regarding the delays in implementing the rate changes was not 5 intentional. Qwest also states that it completed the implementation of the Phase II rates by December 6 15, 2002, with the exception of the rates of five wireless carriers, including Qwest Wireless. Qwest 7 further claims that it has begun a full re-examination of its rate implementation procedures to ensure the parity of Qwest's practices with those of other major incumbent local exchange providers, and 9 that it has undertaken a number of other remedial activities to resolve the issue of delays in 10 implementation of ordered rates. Qwest requests that Staff and the Commission consider the 11 Company's commitment and its remedial activities in evaluating the issues raised in the Complaint 12 and Order to Show Cause. 13 14

On December 30, 2002, AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. ("AT&T") filed an Application to Intervene. AT&T states that it is directly and substantially affected by Qwest's failure to implement the rates ordered in Decision No. 64922. No party objected to AT&T's intervention.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this matter shall be scheduled for a Procedural Conference on January 27, 2003, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission. The purpose of the conference will be to discuss potential hearing dates and other procedural issues.

21

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24 | .

25 | .

26

27

28

¹ Qwest is presumably referring to Decision No. 64922 (June 12, 2002), the Phase II Order issued in Docket No. T-00000A-00-0194 that determined the majority of Qwest's wholesale prices. The Phase IIA Order in that same Docket is Decision No. 65451 (December 12, 2002), which is not the subject of the Commission's Complaint and Order to Show Cause in Decision No. 65450.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. is 1 granted intervention in this proceeding. 2 DATED this 16th day of January, 2003. 3 4 hode 5 5 ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE 6 LAW JUDGE Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered 7 this 16 day of January, 2003 to: 8 9 Jacqueline Manogian Michael W. Patten Mountain Telecommunications, inc. 10 ROSHKA, HEYMAN & DEWULF 1430 W Broadway Road, Suite A200 One Arizona Center Tempe, AZ 85282 11 400 E. Van Buren Street, Suite 800 12 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Robert S. Kant Attorneys for Cox Arizona Telcom, Inc., E. Jeffrey Walsh 13 e-spireTM Communications, and McLeodUSA GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP Telecommunications Services, Inc. 2375 East Camelback Road 14 Michael Grant Suite 700 GALLAGHER & KENNEDY Phoenix, AZ 85016 15 2575 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225 16 Mitchell F. Brecher Attorneys for Electric Lightwave, Inc., GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 17 **COVAD** 800 Connecticut Ave., NW Edge Communications, Inc. and New Washington, DC 20006 18 Networks 19 Timothy Berg Thomas H. Campbell FENNEMORE CRAIG 20 **LEWIS & ROCA** 3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600 40 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85012 21 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorneys for Owest Corporation Attorneys for Rhythms Links, Inc. and 22 Time Warner Telecom of Arizona, LLC Thomas Dethlefs 23 **US WEST** Thomas F. Dixon, Jr. 1801 California Street, Suite 5100 24 MCI WorldCom Denver, Colorado 80202 707 17th Street 25 Denver, Colorado 80202 Richard S. Wolters Michel Singer Nelson 26 AT&T 27 1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575 Denver, Colorado 80202-1847 28

1 Darren S. Weingard Stephen H. Kukta SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO. 1850 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor 3 San Mateo, California 94404-2467 4 Scott S. Wakefield 5 RUCO 2828 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 7 Raymond S. Heyman 8 Randall H. Warner ROSHKA, HEYMAN & DeWULF 400 E. Van Buren Street Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 10 Jeffrey W. Crockett 11 Jeffrey B. Guldner 12 **SNELL & WILMER** One Arizona Center 13 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 14 Mary E. Steele DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 15 2600 Century Square 16 1501 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101-1688 17 Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. 18 19 Marti Allbright MPOWER COMMUNICATIONS 20 5711 S. Benton Circle Littleton, Colorado 80123 21 Joyce B. Hundley 22 United States Dept of Justice 23 **Antitrust Division** City Center Building 24 1401 H Street, NW, Suite 8000 Washington, DC 20530 25 Lyndon J. Godfrey 26 AT&T 27 111 W. Monroe, Suite 1201 Phoenix, Arizona 85003 28

Mark Brown
QWEST CORPORATION
3033 North 3rd Street
Phoenix, AZ 85012

Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest Johnson, Director ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2627 N. Third Street, Suite Three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1103

By:

Molly Johnson

Secretary to Dwight D. Nodes